

Q1. Drawing E2-0 indicates to remove Security Devices and protect conduit and wiring in place. Drawing E2-3 indicates to re-install in new location. Wouldn't it be in the district's best interest to Make final Terminations to Existing EST-3 Panel which is currently reporting Point to Point ID to Districts Fireworks Station located at District's Police HQ??

DCGA RESPONSE: The existing EST3 is being used for security and is not currently an active FACP "FIRE ALARM CONTROL PANEL". The existing EST3 can be turned into a FACP, ENKO can submit on EST devices in lieu of the Simplex system per the public contracts code. Contractor would be responsible for all modification costs as needed (no change order) and DSA review fees.

Q2. Drawing E2-0 Indicates to Remove Security Cameras And protect conduit and wiring in place. Drawing E2-3 indicates to re-install in new location. Are cameras Analog or IP. What is the manufacturer type of existing Cameras? Where is main head-in located??

DCGA RESPONSE: Scope requires the removal and reinstallation of existing cameras in new location. No available 'as-built' drawings exist for equipment type or connection points. Contractor shall field verify and coordinate with District prior to installation. Scope requires contractor coordination with District for a complete and operable system.

Q3a. Drawing indicate expanding the existing Fire Alarm System from the Obsolete Simplex Panel. Wouldn't the district be better served if we expanded the Fire Alarm System to the New EDWARDS EST-3 panel located in Admin?? This EST panel is already reporting to Fireworks Station located at District Police Headquarters.

DCGA RESPONSE: DCGA takes no exception to the proposed connection to the existing EST-3 if that is the direction by the District. Original direction from District was to connect to existing system. Architect to confirm with District if direction to change is required.

RRC RESPONSE: The district has made no request to make this change.

Q3b. Also, Shouldn't the Expanded EST Fire Alarm System in this scope of work report to Fireworks??

DCGA RESPONSE: DCGA takes no exception to the proposed connection to the existing EST-3 if that is the direction by the District. Original direction from District was to connect to existing system. Architect to confirm with District if direction to change is required.

RRC RESPONSE: The district has made no request to make this change

Q4. Is there any asbestos and/or lead paint abatement on this project?

RRC RESPONSE: Per District there is not any asbestos or lead paint abatement required on this project.

K. Williams, 2/28/17

DCGA Engineers

J. Morley, 3/1/2017

Ruhnau Ruhnau Clarke

